

University of Dundee

## Do research studies in the UK reporting child neurodevelopment adjust for the variability of assessors

Khalid, Rahila; Willatts, Peter; Williams, Fiona L. R.

*Published in:*  
Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology

*DOI:*  
[10.1111/dmcn.12992](https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12992)

*Publication date:*  
2016

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Khalid, R., Willatts, P., & Williams, F. L. R. (2016). Do research studies in the UK reporting child neurodevelopment adjust for the variability of assessors: a systematic review. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 58(2), 131-137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12992>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# DO RESEARCH STUDIES IN THE UK REPORTING CHILD NEURODEVELOPMENT ADJUST FOR THE VARIABILITY OF ASSESSORS? A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW.

**Abbreviated title:** assessor variability

**Authors** Rahila Khalid<sup>1</sup>, Peter Willatts<sup>2</sup>, Fiona LR Williams<sup>1\*</sup>

- 1 Population Health Sciences, Medical Research Institute, Mackenzie Building, University of Dundee, Kirsty Semple Way, Dundee DD2 4BF Scotland
- 2 Department of Psychology, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland

Rahila Khalid paediatrician, Peter Willatts Psychologist, Fiona Williams developmental epidemiologist

**1\* Corresponding author** Fiona Williams [f.l.r.williams@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:f.l.r.williams@dundee.ac.uk) Population Health Sciences, Medical Research Institute, Mackenzie Building, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School Campus, Kirsty Semple Way, Dundee DD2 4BF Scotland  
Telephone number UK (44) 1382 383727, Fax number UK (44) 1382 420101

**Funding Bodies** none

**Disclosure Statement** The authors have nothing to declare.

**Word count** 3207

## **ABSTRACT**

**Aim** Neurodevelopment is a key outcome for many childhood trials and observational studies. Clinically important decisions may rest on finding relatively small differences in neurodevelopment between groups receiving complex and costly interventions. Our purpose was to determine whether studies which measure neurodevelopment report the numbers, training and auditing of assessors and, for multiple assessor studies, whether the results were adjusted and if so by which method?

**Method** Systematic review. Electronic searches were conducted using Medline, Embase, Cinahl, PsycINFO and the Cochrane library. A study was eligible if it reported neurodevelopmental outcome in children resident in the UK,  $\leq 18$  years and was published 2000-2013. Trials and observational studies were included.

**Results** 307 full papers were reviewed; 52% of papers did not report the number of assessors used, 21% used a single assessor and 27% used multiple assessors; 35% mentioned that assessors were trained in use of the neurodevelopmental tool, 13% of assessors were audited, only 1% of studies adjusted statistically for the number of assessors.

**Interpretation** At the very least, the quality of reporting the use of assessors in these research publications is poor; at worst, the variability of assessors may mask the true relationship between an intervention/observation and neurodevelopmental outcome.

**200 words**

**Key words:** neurodevelopmental assessment, variability, children

### **WHAT THIS PAPER ADDS**

- UK studies published since 2000 which report neurodevelopmental outcome inadequately describe the training and audit procedures used with their assessors.
- Very few studies consider the potential impact on results of using multiple assessors and very few studies adjust statistically for the number of assessors used.
- Variability in assessor performance may obscure the true neurodevelopmental status of infants; it is incumbent on researchers to provide evidence of the robustness of their data.

Neurodevelopment is a key outcome for many randomised controlled trials and other research studies involving infants and children. Clinically important decisions can rest on finding a relatively small difference in neurodevelopment between groups arising from complex and costly interventions. Neurodevelopment is frequently used as a primary or secondary outcome in neonatal and paediatric studies as it provides quantifiable evidence of functional achievement; it is also used widely in other settings, such as education, social and psychological research.

There is a plethora of ways to measure neurodevelopment ranging from self-completion questionnaires by either the child or parent, to researcher administered tests. It is well recognised that self-completion and survey questionnaires can introduce bias to the test results;<sup>1</sup> but equally assessments which are administered by researchers are not immune to bias (i.e. inter-observer variability). The likelihood of inter-observer bias is acknowledged in the manuals of most neurodevelopmental tests, and guidance in test administration is given which is designed to minimise this bias. The guidance typically emphasises the need for assessors to follow a standard protocol and to be trained to a criterion level of proficiency. Nevertheless, variability in assessor performance may remain.

Is assessor variability simply a theoretical problem or can it lead to erroneous results and unwarranted conclusions? As part of an on-going investigation of the relationship between postnatal thyroid hormone levels and neurodevelopment, Delahunty et al<sup>2</sup> assessed and reported the developmental status of 442 children using three assessors. The children were evaluated at 5.5 years using the McCarthy Scales<sup>3</sup> which report the General Cognitive Index, which is derived from the verbal, perceptual performance and quantitative sub-scales, and separate motor and memory scales. Twenty-six variables known to confound neurodevelopment assessment were included in a univariate general linear regression model including gestational age, neonatal illness, hospital of birth (which provided a measure of geographical location), parental and lifestyle characteristics (including an objective measure of maternal intelligence, information about maternal depressive illnesses and number of months breastfeeding), significant life events and assessor. The regression modelling showed that assessor introduced variability which had a significant, independent, impact on three of the scales (motor, memory and verbal) which resulted in a score variation of between 7 and 8 points.<sup>2</sup> These scales have a population mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10<sup>3</sup> thus assessor variability was statistically, and potentially clinically, significant. The mean scores of all of the scales were slightly inflated when the scores were not adjusted for assessor (Delahunty et al unpublished observations). The key outcome - low thyroxine levels - showed a significant and independent association with the perceptual performance scale only when the regression model did not include assessor variability. Hence, not adjusting for the variability introduced by the assessors in that dataset leads to a falsely positive association. Critically, these associations were seen in a study which at the outset had striven to minimise assessor variation; the psychologists were well trained in the use of the McCarthy Scales and their performance was regularly audited. In other studies, where training and audit of assessor performance may not be undertaken, assessor variability might be more marked or might operate differently, masking an affect when one is in fact present.

With so many studies using neurodevelopment as a primary or secondary end point, we wished to determine whether this potential source of variability in outcome measure was

acknowledged in published studies and whether any statistical adjustment was made in the analyses. We therefore decided to review a sample of the literature to determine:

1. whether studies measuring neurodevelopment report the number of assessors used;
2. whether studies report that assessors are trained in the use of the neurodevelopmental tools;
3. whether the assessors are audited in the use of the neurodevelopmental tool during the study; and,
4. in studies which use more than one assessor, are the results adjusted and if so by which method.

## **METHOD**

### ***Eligibility criteria***

We aimed to review all published research studies which described performing neurodevelopmental assessments in children  $\leq 18$  years. Papers were included if they were published between January 2000 and September 2015; this date restriction was to limit the number of studies. As globally even this number of years would generate a huge number of studies we restricted our selection to studies undertaken in the UK; if the study was multi-country we abstracted, where possible, information pertaining to the UK participants. All study designs were eligible, except case reports and case studies. Because we were primarily interested in the potential for assessor variability, we excluded studies which assessed neurodevelopment exclusively from questionnaires completed by the child/parent/carer, and those which used only an internet or web based interface.

### ***Definition of outcome terms***

The neurodevelopmental tests used had to measure some aspect of neurodevelopmental function such as cognition or motor development (Table 1).

To be classified as 'trained' the paper had to state that specific training for the neurodevelopment tool was undertaken by the assessor(s). 'Implied training' was categorised as use of a professional term when describing the assessors such as paediatrician, researcher or psychologist, without evidence of specific training in the use of the neurodevelopmental tool. Audit was classified as measurement of assessor performance during the study, with comparison against a (gold) standard or against another assessor. The quantitative measure for assessor variability was whether or not the study had adjusted for the number of assessors statistically, such as by using assessor as an explanatory variable in regression analyses. If studies reported measuring inter-assessor variability we classified this either as 'training' if it occurred before the start of data collection, or as an 'audit' of assessor performance, if it occurred during data collection. The type of study was recorded as controlled trial (randomised or not-randomised), cohort, case-comparison or 'other' (e.g. cross-sectional and retrospective cohort).

### ***Information sources and search strategy***

The search strategy was developed by RK and used, with appropriate modification, with five databases: Medline, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The searches were last run on 15/09/2015.

The search strategy was developed using the PICOS framework<sup>4</sup> (Table 1). MeSH terms were generated for each of the PICOS subject headings and formatted according to which generated the most results, either by exploding or searching the MeSH term as a major concept. If a search term was not available as a MeSH heading it was searched as a keyword. The MeSH terms for each PICOS subject heading were combined using Boolean terms enabling multiple combinations of terms to be searched at once. The reference lists of reviewed papers were scanned to look for papers that had been missed in the electronic searches.

### ***Study selection, data abstraction and risk of bias assessment***

The titles and abstracts of all articles were screened and assessed for relevance according to the inclusion criteria (Table 1). Selected articles identified after the initial screen were retrieved in full text. Data were abstracted about the numbers of assessors used, whether the assessors were trained in the use of the neurodevelopmental tool, whether the neurodevelopmental outcome was statistically adjusted for the numbers of assessors used, and whether assessor performance was audited.

The first screen was performed in full by RK. The second screen of full text articles and data abstraction was performed independently by RK and FLRW. Discrepancies between the reviewers were resolved by discussion.

As we were trying only to ascertain whether or not researchers noted, accounted for and reported the number of assessors undertaking neurodevelopmental testing in their work, other aspects of the methodological robustness of the included articles were not reviewed. Simple descriptive statistics were used to describe the data.

## **RESULTS**

During the first search 13,263 papers were identified; following exclusions, 307 papers remained for full review (Figure 1.) The description and reference list for the 307 papers is available online (Appendix).

One-half of the papers (159/307, 52%) did not report how many assessors undertook the neurodevelopmental testing; 21% of papers (65/307) reported using a single assessor and 27% (83/307) used multiple assessors (Figure 2). Of the 83 papers reporting the use of multiple assessors, 30 reported the exact number; the median number of assessors used in that group was 3 and the range 2-31. The median number of children assessed in the studies reporting (definite and implied) use of a single assessor was 64, range 7 to 782; in studies with multiple assessors it was 186, range 17 to 6455; and in studies that did not mention the number of assessors involved, the median number of children in the study was 90, range 10 to 12,449.

Very few (17%, 52/307) studies reported specifically training their assessors; however several papers (18%, 56/307) implied that assessors might be trained through use of phrases such as 'research psychologists', 'experienced paediatricians' and 'experienced speech and language therapists' when describing who undertook the testing. The remaining 199 studies (65%) made no mention about assessor training (Figure 2).

Few studies (13%, 40/307) reported auditing the assessors during the assessment period (Figure 2). Those that did audit used two main approaches; either a sample of tests were re-assessed by an independent observer and a statistic such as inter-rater variability calculated (for example percentage agreement or Cohen's Kappa), or an assessment was video-taped and the performance of the assessor reviewed by an independent expert.

Only three (1%, 3/242) of the studies which reported using multiple assessors or which failed to report the number of assessors used, made statistical adjustment for the number of assessors in their analysis. Each study used a different method for adjustment. Lazarus et al,<sup>5</sup> who reported a randomised controlled trial, controlled for inter-assessor variation in two ways. The first was by adjusting each assessor's calculated IQ score by the difference between the population mean IQ score (which was set at 100) and that assessor's mean IQ score derived from their control group. For example, if the mean IQ for the control group for one assessor was 105, then all IQ scores derived by that assessor were reduced by 5 points and analyses were carried out on the adjusted scores. The second was by analysis of Z scores (observed IQ minus the mean, divided by the standard deviation, according to assessor). Delahunty et al's<sup>2</sup> cohort study adjusted for possible assessor variation by including the assessor as an explanatory variable in univariate general linear regression models of McCarthy Scale scores.<sup>3</sup> Finally, Gordon et al's<sup>6</sup> cohort study adjusted for possible variation by using the average score of the assessors to derive a mean neurologic examination score.

The majority of studies used a case-comparison (40%) or a cohort (37%) study design (Table 2). A higher proportion of trials (randomised, non-randomised, definite and implied) used trained assessors compared to case-comparison studies (Fischer Exact  $p=0.03$ ) but not when compared to cohort studies (Fischer Exact  $p=0.20$ ); the training status of assessors in case-comparison and cohort studies did not differ appreciably (Fischer Exact  $p=0.18$ ). Whether or not a study was audited did not differ according to the study design (trial versus cohort Fischer Exact  $p=0.30$ , trial versus case-comparison Fischer Exact  $p=0.36$ , and cohort versus case-comparison Fischer Exact  $p=0.98$ ).

## DISCUSSION

This comprehensive review identified a large number of eligible studies, but found that the majority of authors do not describe in sufficient detail the number, quality (e.g. training and adherence to guidelines) and potential impact (e.g. statistical adjustment) of the assessors they employ to generate the key outcome measures. Using the total number of papers identified in the search as the denominator reveals four key points. First, only 48% of the studies gave quantitative information about the number of assessors that they employed. Secondly, only 35% of studies provided any indication that assessors had received training. Thirdly, only 13% of the studies reported that assessor performance had been audited. And, lastly, only 1% of the studies adjusted statistically for the number of assessors in their analyses (the denominator excludes single assessor studies). Using studies that reported using multiple assessor studies as the denominator ( $N=83$ ) however only increases the percentage of studies that statistically adjusted their data to 4%.

It could be argued that using the total number of papers identified in the review (rather than the subsection which provided assessor information) artificially underestimates the proportions reported in this review. However, the outcomes reported here (assessor



number, training status, audit and analytical detail) are so basic that the counter argument is that they should be included in any well written methods section. It might be reasonable to assume that researchers are competent in administering assessments, but it is important to provide information about how this competence is achieved, especially when there are several assessors who may differ in their experience, ability and diligence.

Only 40 studies reported whether their assessors were audited in some way. Audit is important to ensure the reliability of measures and that standard procedures are consistently followed for the duration of the study. Audit is especially important when multiple assessors are involved in order to monitor and correct (through feedback) any variation between individual assessors. It could be debated that the 40 studies which reported auditing their assessors, and especially those that reported inter-rater variability measures, should be classified as having adjusted for assessor variability - using the logic that a high rater agreement by definition means that assessor variability was negligible. However audit is generally undertaken in only a sample of the assessments (random or otherwise, small or large) and can vary appreciably in comprehensiveness and extent. In some instances, inter-rater reliability is established by reporting the correlation between assessor scores. While such a method can indicate whether assessor performance is related, it is inadequate for audit because it fails to detect any difference in mean scores or the closeness of assessor ratings.<sup>7</sup> Thus, while audit based on only a sample of assessments and or assessors is appropriate for evaluating assessor reliability, it cannot be used to adjust for number of assessors in statistical analyses.

Slightly more than half the studies provided no information about the number of assessors used in the study. However, the median number of children in these studies was considerably larger than in single-assessor studies, and it is therefore likely that many which provided no information did include multiple assessors. Of the studies that used multiple assessors only three adjusted statistically for multiple assessors. Even if the number of studies which describe auditing assessor performance are added to those which used a single assessor and those which statistically adjusted outcome, two-thirds of the reviewed papers still failed to mention and account for assessor variability.

Clinical and research governance, with independent oversight, is integral in the research design and management of clinical trials, and many are run through experienced and accredited clinical trial units. In view of this, it might have been expected that trials would be more likely than other study designs to use trained assessors, regularly audit performance, adjust results statistically as appropriate and, most importantly, describe such an approach in their published articles. This expectation was not supported by the findings of this review.

We made no attempt to contact authors to obtain clarification, and it is very likely that many of the investigators did indeed train their assessors in the use of the developmental tools, but simply failed to note this in their paper. (For this reason we included the classification of implied training; although we recognize that this is not a good example of reporting accuracy.) Many too will have monitored, in some way, the performance of assessors. However, it is less likely that investigators have adjusted statistically for the assessors as this would have been described in the methods or be evident in the results. Overall therefore the proportions reported in this systematic review are likely to be

underestimates and portray a bleaker picture than reality. Attention to detail when writing methodology sections in papers could remove this underestimate instantly.

No study involving neonates and children is straightforward, many are clinically and logistically complex, all are fiscally and many emotionally costly. Accurate and correct interpretation of outcome is an underlying principle of good science. So is the poor reporting of assessors highlighted in this review a theoretical or real problem? The analytical methods of two of the three studies which adjusted for assessor variability identified in this review suggest that the problem is real. The study by Delahunty et al,<sup>2</sup> as described in the introduction, showed a clear effect of assessor variability on the outcomes. The review of the supplemental data posted online by Lazurus et al<sup>5</sup> suggests that although the study adjusted for within assessor variation that some between assessor variation may have remained. We found two general approaches used for adjusting assessor variability. One is to adjust the raw scores before the analyses;<sup>5,6</sup> the other is to include assessor as a variable in the statistical analysis.<sup>2</sup>

We reviewed only three studies that considered, found and then adjusted for assessor variability, and consequently there is only very weak evidence that assessor variability is a problem. However, the evidence will remain poor until researchers routinely investigate and report the impact on their results of using multiple assessors. If it is arguable that the assessor variability is a proxy for some other direct and real association (such as socio-economic factors related to geographical location) then this should be reflected in the researchers' interpretation of their data. Whatever the interpretation, it is incumbent on researchers to reassure readers that their research findings are robust and until they investigate the impact of multiple assessors on their data they cannot provide the reassurance that their findings are accurate. It is very easy to record the number of assessors used in a study, and inclusion of assessor as a variable is a simple and effective statistical method of considering this source of potential variability. How a researcher interprets this variability is dependent on identifying its true source.

Three hundred and seven papers were included in this systematic review (although almost 15 times that number, globally, reported using neurodevelopmental assessment as part of their study design). At the very least, the quality of reporting the use and impact of assessors in research publications is poor; at worst, the variability of assessors may mask the true relationship between an intervention/observation and neurodevelopmental outcome. We suggest that it should become the norm for researchers to include a 'procedural' paragraph in the methods section of all papers, which clearly describes the number of assessors involved in the study, how they were trained, how they were audited, and how, if appropriate, the data were adjusted to account for the potential variability produced by multiple assessors. Such a requirement should be added to methodological guidelines and check lists such as CONSORT, PRISMA and STROBE.

Finally, this paper reviewed studies undertaken and reported in the UK and a question remains therefore about whether these findings are generalizable to other settings. While not definitive, we undertook a brief global review of papers published in 2015. Of the first 25 non-UK papers listed in Medline and EMBASE 5/25 reported definitely and a further 4/25 implied training the assessors; 2/25 reported auditing the assessors; and, 1 study adjusted

for multiple assessors. These findings are very similar to those of the UK and suggest that the findings for the UK are very likely applicable to researchers in this field worldwide.

## REFERENCES

1. Bowling A. Mode of questionnaire administration can have serious effects on data quality. *J Pub Hlth* 2005; 27:281-291.
2. Delahunty C, Falconer S, Hume R et al. Levels of neonatal thyroid hormone in preterm infants and neurodevelopmental outcomes at 5½ years: millennium cohort study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010; 95:4898-4908.
3. McCarthy D. Manual for the McCarthy Scales of children's abilities. New York. Psychological Corporation, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1972.
4. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews: CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. CRD, University of York, 2008.
5. Lazarus JH, Bestwick JP, Channon S et al. Antenatal thyroid screening and childhood cognitive function. *New Eng J Med* 2012; 366:493-501.
6. Gordon AL, Ganesan V, Towell A, Kirkham FJ. Functional outcome following stroke in children. *J Child Neurol* 2002; 17:429-434.
7. Minnis H, Millward R, Sinclair C et al. The computerized MacArthur Story Stem Battery – a pilot study of a novel medium for assessing children's representations of relationships. *Int J Meth Psychiatr Res* 2006; 15:207-214.

**TABLE 1:** Inclusion criteria for considering studies in the review using the picos\* framework

PICOS component	Inclusion criteria	Comment
Participant	Studies in which participants were assessed at $\leq 18$ years UK subjects	If the group mean age was $>18$ years the paper was excluded.
Assessment	Neurodevelopmental outcome assessed using valid assessment tools within any of the fields of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cognition</li> <li>• Motor skills</li> <li>• Memory</li> <li>• Perception</li> <li>• Emotion</li> <li>• Attention</li> <li>• Executive function</li> <li>• Behaviour</li> <li>• Psychological</li> <li>• Psychomotor development</li> <li>• Mental developmental</li> <li>• Verbal and communication development</li> <li>• Sensorineural impairments including: hearing deficit and visual acuity</li> </ul>	Valid i.e. the tool was in the public domain, available for use and published in academic articles
Study design	Randomised controlled trials, Observational studies (Cohort, Case-control, Cross-sectional)	Case reports and case studies were excluded
Report characteristics	Published between 2000-2013, English Language, and setting in the UK	

\*PICOS Participants, Intervention, Comparators, Outcomes, Study design [5]

**TABLE 2:** Relationship between study design and reported audit and training of the assessors

Study Design	Number of papers reviewed	Audit reported		Training reported		
		Yes	Not stated	Yes	Implied	Not stated
Trial -randomised	21	1	20	6	6	9
Trial-not randomised	2	-	2	1	-	1
Cohort	114	17	97	24	22	68
Case-comparison	122	17	105	16	21	85
Other	48	5	43	5	7	36
Sub total		40	267	52	56	199
<b>Total</b>	307	307		307		

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

### **Figure 1**

Numbers of articles sourced at each stage of the systematic review and reasons for exclusion

### **Figure 2**

Number of UK studies which have reported neurodevelopment as an outcome of an intervention or condition between 2000 and 2013 according to number of assessors

**Table 2a: Full reference list of the 307 papers that were reviewed**

1	Adams A-M, Gathercole SE. Limitations in working memory: implications for language development. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> 2000; <b>35</b> : 95-116.
2	Williams D, Stott CM, Goodyer IM, Sahakian BJ. Specific language impairment with or without hyperactivity: neuropsychological evidence for frontostriatal dysfunction. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> 2000; <b>42</b> : 368-375.
3	Adam JW, Snowling MJ. Executive function and reading impairments in children reported by their teachers as 'hyperactive'. <i>Br J Dev Psychol</i> 2001; <b>19</b> : 293-306.
4	Allin M, Matsumoto H, Santhouse AM, et al. Cognitive and motor function and the size of the cerebellum in adolescents born very pre-term. <i>Brain</i> 2001; <b>124</b> : 60-66.
5	Bennet AJ, Shaw AJ, Gregg JE, Subhedar NV. Neurodevelopmental outcome in high-risk preterm infants treated with inhaled nitric oxide. <i>Acta Paediatr</i> 2001; <b>90</b> : 573-576.
6	Botting N, Faragher B, Simkin Z, Knox E, Conti-Ramsden G. Predicting pathways of specific language impairment: what differentiates good and poor outcome? <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2001 <b>42</b> : 1013-1020.
7	Briscoe J, Bishop DVM, Norbury CF. Phonological processing, language, and literacy: a comparison of children with mild-to-moderate sensorineural hearing loss and those with specific language impairment. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2001 <b>42</b> : 329-340.
8	Conti-Ramsden G, Botting N, Simkin Z, Knox E. Follow-up of children attending infant language units: outcomes at 11 years of age. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> . 2001; <b>36</b> : 207-219.
9	Fawcett AJ, Nicolson RI, Maclagan F. Cerebellar tests differentiate between groups of poor readers with and without IQ discrepancy. <i>J Learn Disabil</i> . 2001; <b>34</b> : 119-133.
10	Haines MM, Stansfield SA, Soames Job RF, Berglund B, Head J. A follow-up study of effects of chronic aircraft noise exposure on child stress responses and cognition. <i>Int J Epidemiol</i> . 2001; <b>30</b> : 839-845.
11	Henry LA. How does the severity of a learning disability affect working memory performance? <i>Memory</i> . 2001; <b>9</b> : 233-247.
12	Hutton UMZ, Towse JN. Short-term memory and working memory as indices of children's cognitive skills. <i>Memory</i> . 2001; <b>9</b> : 383-394.
13	Laws G, Lawrence L. Spatial representation in the drawings of children with Down's syndrome and its relationship to language and motor development: a preliminary investigation. <i>Br J Dev Psychol</i> . 2001; <b>19</b> : 453-473.
14	Rushe TM, Rifkin L, Stewart AL, et al. Neuropsychological outcome at adolescence of very preterm birth and its relation to brain structure. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2000; <b>43</b> : 226-233.
15	Scott RB, Stoodley CJ, Anslow P, Paul C, Stein JF, Sugden EM, Mitchell CD. Lateralized cognitive deficits in children following cerebellar lesions. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2000; <b>43</b> : 685-691.
16	Sutcliffe AG, Sebire NJ, Pigott, Taylor B, Edwards PR, Nicolaides KH. Outcome for children born after <i>in utero</i> laser ablation therapy for severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. <i>Br J Obstet Gynaecol</i> . 2001; <b>108</b> 1246-1250.
17	Dimitriou G, Greenough A, Broomfield D, Barnett C, Morton M. Rescue high frequency oscillation and predictors of adverse neurodevelopmental outcome in preterm infants. <i>Early Hum Dev</i> . 2002; <b>66</b> : 133-141.
18	Gordon AL, Ganesan V, Towell A, Kirkham FJ. Functional outcome following stroke in children. <i>J Child Neurol</i> . 2002; <b>17</b> : 429-434. <b>(THIS IS REFERENCE 6 IN THE PAPER REFERENCE LIST.)</b>
19	Green D, Baird G, Barnett AL, Henderon L, Huber J, Henderson SE. The severity and nature of motor impairment in Asperger's syndrome: a comparison with specific developmental disorder of motor function. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2002; <b>43</b> : 655-668.
20	Greenough A, Cheeseman P, Kavvadia V, Dimitriou G, Morton M. Colloid infusion in the perinatal period and abnormal neurodevelopmental outcome in very low birth weight infants. <i>Eur J Pediatr</i> . 2002; <b>161</b> : 319-323.
21	Laing GJ, Law J, Levin A, Logan S. Evaluation of a structured test and a parent led method for screening for speech and language problems: prospective population based study. <i>Br Med J</i> . 2002; <b>325</b> : 1152-1154.
22	Michelotti J, Charman T, Slonims V, Baird G. Follow-up of children with language delay and features of autism from preschool years to middle childhood. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2002; <b>44</b> : 812-819.
23	O'Hare A, Khalid S. The association of abnormal cerebellar function in children with developmental coordination disorder and reading difficulties. <i>Dyslexia</i> . 2002; <b>8</b> : 234-248.
24	Shallice T, Marzocchi GM, Coser S, del Savio M, Meuter RF, Rumati RI. Executive function profile of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. <i>Dev Neuropsychol</i> . 2002; <b>21</b> : 43-71.
25	Talcott JB, Witton C, Hebb GS, et al. On the relationship between dynamic visual and auditory processing and literacy skills; results from a large primary-school study. <i>Dyslexia</i> . 2002; <b>8</b> : 204-225.
26	Windfuhr KL, Faragher B, Conti-Ramsden G. Lexical learning skills in young children with specific language impairment (SLI). <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> . 2001; <b>37</b> : 415-432.



27	Conti-Ramsden G, Hesketh A. Risk markers for SLI: a study of young language-learning children. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2003; <b>38</b> : 251-263.
28	Foulmer-Hughes LA, Cooke RW. Motor, cognitive and behavioural disorders in children born very preterm. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol.</i> 2003; <b>45</b> 97-103.
29	Pickstone C. A pilot study of paraprofessional screening of child language in community settings. <i>Child Lang Teach Ther.</i> 2003; <b>19</b> : 49-65.
30	Thomas M, Greenough A, Morton M. Prolonged ventilation and intact survival in very low birth weight. <i>Eur J Pediatr.</i> 2003; <b>162</b> : 65-67.
31	Thomson M. Monitoring dyslexics' intelligence and attainments: a follow-up study. <i>Dyslexia.</i> 2003; <b>9</b> : 3-17.
32	Viding E, Price T, Spinath FM, Bishop DVM, Dale PS, Plomin R. Genetic and environmental mediation of the relationship between language and nonverbal impairment in 4 year old twins. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2003; <b>46</b> : 1271-1282.
33	Vollmer B, Roth S, Baudin J, Stewart AL, Neville BGR, Wyatt JS. Predictors of long term outcome in very preterm infants: gestational age versus neonatal cranial ultrasound. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2003; <b>112</b> : 1108-1114.
34	Adab N, Kini U, Vinten J, et al. The longer term outcome of children born to mothers with epilepsy. <i>J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.</i> 2004; <b>75</b> : 1575-1583.
35	Bull R, Andrews Epsy K, Senn TE. A comparison of performance on the Towers of London and Hanoi in young children. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2004; <b>45</b> : 743-754.
36	Burt KB, Hay DF, Pawlby S, Harold G, Sharp D. The prediction of disruptive behaviour disorders in an urban community sample: the contribution of person-centres analyses. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2004; <b>45</b> : 1159-1170.
37	Isaacs EB, Edmonds CJ, Chong WK, Lucas A, Morley R, Gadian DG. Brain morphometry and IQ measurements in preterm children. <i>Brain.</i> 2004; <b>127</b> : 2595-2607.
38	Laws G. Contributions of phonological memory, language comprehension and hearing to the expressive language of adolescents and young adults with Downs syndrome. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2004; <b>45</b> : 1085-1095.
39	Mercuri E, Barnett A, Rutherford M, et al. Neonatal cerebral infarction and neuromotor outcome at school age. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2004; <b>113</b> : 95-200.
40	Morley R, Fewtrell MS, Abbott R, Stephenson T, MacFadyen U, Lucas A. Neurodevelopment in children born small for gestational age: a randomized trial of nutrient-enriched versus standard formula and comparison with a reference breastfed group. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2004; <b>113</b> : 515-521.
41	Nosarti C, Rushe TM, Woodruff PWR, Stewart AL, Rifkin L, Murray RM. Corpus callosum size and very preterm birth: relationship to neuropsychological outcome. <i>Brain.</i> 2004; <b>127</b> : 2080-2089.
42	Fisher N, Happe F. A training study of theory of mind and executive function in children with autistic spectrum disorders. <i>J Autism Dev Disord.</i> 2005; <b>35</b> : 757-771.
43	Gathercole SE, Tiffany C, Briscoe J, Thorn A, and the ALSPAC team. Developmental consequences of poor phonological short-term memory function in childhood: a longitudinal study. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2004; <b>46</b> : 598-611.
44	Lazarus JH, Bestwick JP, Channon S, et al. Antenatal thyroid screening and childhood cognitive function. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2012; <b>366</b> : 493-501. <b>(THIS IS REFERENCE 5 IN THE PAPER REFERENCE LIST.)</b>
45	Rathbone R, Counsell SJ, Kapellou O, et al. Perinatal cortical growth and childhood neurocognitive abilities. <i>Neurology.</i> 2011; <b>77</b> : 1510-1517.
46	Halliday LF, Bishop DVM. Frequency discrimination and literacy skills in children with mild to moderate sensorineural hearing loss. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2005; <b>48</b> : 1187-1203.
47	Hick RF, Botting N, Conti-Ramsden G. Short-term memory and vocabulary development in children with Down syndrome and children with specific language impairment. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol.</i> 2005; <b>47</b> : 532-538.
48	Hogan AM, Kirkham FJ, Isaacs EB, Wade AM, Vargha-Khadem F. Intellectual decline in children with moyamoya and sickle cell anaemia. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol.</i> 2005; <b>47</b> : 824-829.
49	Lawlor DA, O'Callaghan MJ, Mamun AA, Williams GM, Bor W, Najman JMM. Socioeconomic position, cognitive function and clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence: findings from the Mater University study of pregnancy and its outcomes. <i>Psychosom Med.</i> 2005; <b>67</b> : 862-868.
50	Rhodes SM, Coghill DR, Matthews K. Neuropsychological functioning in stimulant-naïve boys with hyperkinetic disorder. <i>Psychol Med.</i> 2005; <b>35</b> : 1109-1120.
51	Stephens R, Rutherford A, Potter D, Fernie G. Neuropsychological impairment as a consequence of football (soccer) play and football heading: a preliminary analysis and report on school students (13-16 years). <i>Child Neuropsychol.</i> 2005; <b>11</b> : 513-526.
52	Vinten J, Adab N, Kini U, Gorry J, Cregg J, Baker GA. Neuropsychological effects of exposure to anticonvulsant medication in utero. <i>Neurology.</i> 2005; <b>64</b> : 949-954.
53	Allin M, Rooney M, Griffiths T, et al. Neurological abnormalities in young adults born preterm. <i>J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.</i> 2006; <b>77</b> : 495-499.

54	Archibald LMD, Gathercole SE. Visuospatial immediate memory in specific language impairment. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2006; <b>49</b> : 265-277.
55	Conti-Ramsden G, Simkin Z, Pickles A. estimating familial loading in SLI: a comparison of direct assessment versus parental interview. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2006; <b>49</b> : 88-101.
56	Dyett LE, Kennea N, Counsell SJ, et al. Natural history of brain lesions in extremely preterm infants studied with serial magnetic resonance imaging from birth and neurodevelopmental assessment. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2006; <b>118</b> : 536-548.
57	Foster CJ, Biggs RL, Melvin D, Walters MDS, Tudor-Williams G, Lyall EGH. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in children with HIV infection under 3 years of age. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol.</i> 2006; <b>48</b> : 677-682.
58	Gale CR, O'Callaghan FJJ, Bredow M, Martyn CN. The influence of head growth in fetal life, infancy, and childhood on intelligence at the ages of 4 and 8 years. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2006; <b>118</b> : 1486-1492.
59	Happé F, Booth R, Charlton R, Hughes C. Executive function deficits in autism spectrum disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: examining profiles across domains and ages. <i>Brain Cogn.</i> 2006; <b>61</b> : 25-39.
60	Kuntsi J, Rogers H, Swinard G, et al. Reaction time, inhibition, working memory and 'delay aversion' performance: genetic influences and their interpretation. <i>Psychol Med.</i> 2006; <b>36</b> : 1613-1624.
61	Loat CS, Craig G, Plomin R, Craig IW. Investigating the relationship between FMR1 allele length and cognitive ability in children: a subtle effect of the normal allele range on the normal ability range? <i>Ann Hum Genet.</i> 2006; <b>70</b> : 555-565.
62	Mackinlay R, Charman T, Karmiloff-Smith A. High functioning children with autism spectrum disorder: a novel test of multitasking. <i>Brain Cogn.</i> 2006; <b>61</b> : 14-24.
63	Millar K, Asbury AJ, Bowman AW, Hosey MT, Musiello T, Welbury RR. The effects of brief sevoflurane-nitrous oxide anaesthesia upon children's postoperative cognition and behaviour. <i>Anaesthesia.</i> 2006; <b>61</b> : 541-547.
64	Minnis H, Millward R, Sinclair C, et al. The computerized MacArthur Story Stem battery – a pilot study of a novel medium for assessing children's representations of relationships. <i>Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.</i> 2006; <b>15</b> : 207-214.
65	Pressler RM, Binnie CD, Coleshill SG, Chorley GA, Robinson RO. Effect of lamotrigine on cognition in children with epilepsy. <i>Neurology.</i> 2006; <b>66</b> : 1495-1499.
66	Rhodes S, Coghill D, Matthews K. Acute neuropsychological effects of methylphenidate in stimulant drug-naïve boys with ADHD II – broader executive and non-executive domains. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2006; <b>47</b> : 1184-1194.
67	Simonoff E, Pickles A, Chadwick O, et al. The Croydon assessment of learning study: prevalence and educational identification of mild mental retardation. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2006; <b>47</b> : 828-839.
68	Telford K, Waters L, Vyas H, Manktelow B, Draper ES, Marlow N. Outcome after neonatal continuous negative-pressure ventilation: follow-up assessment. <i>Lancet.</i> 2006; <b>367</b> : 1080-1085.
69	Vollmer B, Roth S, Riley K, et al. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of preterm children with unilateral cerebral lesions diagnosed by neonatal ultrasound. <i>Early Hum Dev.</i> 2006; <b>82</b> : 655-661.
70	Whitehouse AJO, Mayberry MT, Durkin K. Inner speech impairments in autism. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2006; <b>47</b> : 857-865.
71	Barnett JH, Heron J, Ring SM, et al. Gender specific effects of the catechol-O-methyltransferase val <sup>108</sup> /met <sup>158</sup> polymorphism on cognitive function in children. <i>Am J Psychiatry.</i> 2007; <b>164</b> : 142-149.
72	Chiat S, Roy P. The preschool repetition test: an evaluation of performance in typically developing and clinically referred children. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2007; <b>50</b> : 429-443.
73	Conti-Ramsden G, Durkin K. Phonological short term memory, language and literacy: developmental relationships in early adolescence in young people with SLI. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2007; <b>48</b> : 146-156.
74	Corriveau K, Pasquini E, Goswami U. Basic auditory processing skills and specific language impairment: a new look at an old hypothesis. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2007; <b>50</b> : 647-666.
75	Dockrell JE, Messer D. Language profiles and naming in children with word finding difficulties. <i>Folia Phoniatr Logop.</i> 2007; <b>59</b> : 318-323.
76	Donaldson ML, Reid J, Murray C. Causal sentence production in children with language impairments. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2007; <b>42</b> : 155-186.
77	Emond AM, Blair PS, Emmett PM, Drewett RF. Weight faltering in infancy and IQ levels at 8 years in the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2006; <b>120</b> : 1051-1058.
78	Hughes C, Ensor R. Executive function and theory of mind: predictive relations from ages 2 to 4. <i>Dev Psychol.</i> 2007; <b>43</b> : 1447-1459.
79	Joffe V, Varlokosta S. Language abilities in Williams syndrome: exploring comprehension, production and repetition skills. <i>Adv Speech Lang Pathol.</i> 2007; <b>9</b> : 213-225.
80	McCann J, Peppe S, Gibbon FE, O'Hare A, Rutherford M. Prosody and its relationship to language in school-aged children with high functioning autism. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2007; <b>42</b> : 682-702.
81	Petrou S, McCann D, Law CM, Watkin PM, Worsfold S, Kennedy CR. Health status and health related quality of life preference based outcomes of children who are aged 7 to 9 years and have bilateral permanent childhood hearing impairment. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2007; <b>120</b> : 1044-1052.

82	Stojanovik V, Setter J, Ewijk van L. Intonation abilities of children with Williams's syndrome: a preliminary investigation. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2007; <b>50</b> : 1606-1617.
83	Allin M, Walshe M, Fern A, et al. Cognitive maturation in preterm and term born adolescents. <i>J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.</i> 2008; <b>79</b> : 381-386.
84	Archbold S, Harris M, O'Donoghue G, Nikolopoulos T, White A, Lloyd Richmond H. Reading abilities after cochlear implantation: the effect of age at implantation on outcomes at 5 and 7 years after implantation. <i>Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.</i> 2008; <b>72</b> : 1471-1478.
85	Boucher J, Bigham S, Mayes A, Muskett T. Recognition and language in low functioning autism. <i>J Autism Dev Disord.</i> 2008; <b>38</b> : 1259-1269.
86	Bull R, Andrews Espy K, Wiebe SA. Short term memory, working memory, and executive functioning in pre-schoolers: longitudinal predictors of mathematical achievement at age 7 years. <i>Dev Neuropsychol.</i> 2008; <b>33</b> : 205-228.
87	Burns CM, Rutherford MA, Boardman J, Cowan FM. Patterns of cerebral injury and neurodevelopmental outcomes after symptomatic neonatal hypoglycaemia. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2008; <b>122</b> : 65-74.
88	Calvert S, Miller HE, Curran A, et al. The King's outcome scale for childhood head injury and injury severity and outcomes measures in children with traumatic brain injury. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol.</i> 2008; <b>50</b> : 426-431.
89	Chiat S, Roy P. Early phonological and sociocognitive skills as predictors of later language and social communication outcomes. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2008; <b>49</b> : 635-645.
90	Davis OSP, Kovas Y, Harlaar N, et al. Generalist genes and the internet generation: etiology of learning abilities by web testing at age 10. <i>Genes Brain Behav.</i> 2008; <b>7</b> : 455-462.
91	Dorris L, Zuberi SM, Scott N, Moffat C, McArthur I. Psychological and intellectual functioning in childhood narcolepsy. <i>Dev Neurorehabil.</i> 2008; <b>11</b> : 187-194.
92	Eglinton E, Annett M. Good phonetic errors in poor spellers are associated with right-handedness and possible weak utilisation of visuospatial abilities. <i>Cortex.</i> 2008; <b>44</b> : 737-745.
93	Figueras B, Edwards L, Langdon D. Executive function and language in deaf children. <i>J Deaf Stud Deaf Educ.</i> 2008; <b>13</b> : 362-77.
94	Ford G, Andrews R, Booth A, Dibdin J, Hardingham S, Kelly TP. Screening for learning disability in an adolescent forensic population. <i>J Forens Psychiatry Psychol.</i> 2008; <b>19</b> : 371-381.
95	Froud K, van der Lely HKJ. The count mass distinction in typically developing and grammatically specified language impaired children: new evidence on the role of syntax and semantics. <i>J Commun Disord.</i> 2008; <b>41</b> : 274-303.
96	Gale CR, Robinson SM, Godfrey KM, Lae CM, Schlotz W, O'Callaghan FJ. Oily fish intake during pregnancy – association with lower hyperactivity but not with higher full scale IQ in offspring. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2008; <b>49</b> : 1061-1068.
97	Gathercole SE, Briscoe J, Thorn A, Tiffany C. Deficits in verbal long term memory and learning in children with poor phonological short term memory skills. <i>Q J Exp Psychol.</i> 2008; <b>61</b> : 474-490.
98	Gkoltsiou K, Tzoufi M, Counsell S, Rutherford M, Cowan F. Serial brain MRI and ultrasound findings: relation to gestational age, bilirubin level, neonatal neurologic status and neurodevelopmental outcome in infants at risk of kernicterus. <i>Early Hum Dev.</i> 2008; <b>84</b> : 829-838.
99	Haque KN, Ahmed Z, Wilde R, Fong CYi. Caesarean or vaginal delivery for preterm very low birth weight ( $\leq 1,250$ g) infant: experience from a district general hospital in UK. <i>Arch Gynaecol Obstet.</i> 2008; <b>277</b> : 207-212.
100	Harris JM, Best CS, Moffat VJ, et al. Autistic traits and cognitive performance in young people with mild intellectual impairment. <i>J Autism Dev Disord.</i> 2008; <b>38</b> : 1241-1249.
101	Isaacs EB, Gadian DG, Sabatini S, et al. The effect of early human diet on caudate volumes and IQ. <i>Pediatr Res.</i> 2008; <b>63</b> : 308-314.
102	Liegeois F, Cross JH, Polkey C, Harkness W, Vargha-Khadem F. Language after hemispherectomy in childhood: contributions from memory and intelligence. <i>Neuropsychologia.</i> 2008; <b>46</b> : 3101-3107.
103	Molesworth CJ, Bowler DM, Hampton JA. When prototypes are not best: judgements made by children with autism. <i>J Autism Dev Disord.</i> 2008; <b>38</b> : 1721-1730.
104	Moore DG, Goodwin JE, Oates JM. A modified version of the Bayley Scales of Infant Development-II for cognitive matching of infants with and without Down syndrome. <i>J Intellect Disabil Res.</i> 2008; <b>52</b> : 554-561.
105	Myers L, Botting N. Literacy in the mainstream inner-city school: its relationship to spoken language. <i>Child Lang Teach Ther.</i> 2008; <b>21</b> : 95-114.
106	Nosarti C, Giouroukou E, Healy E, et al. Grey and white matter distribution in very preterm adolescents mediates neurodevelopmental outcome. <i>Brain.</i> 2008; <b>131</b> : 205-217.
107	Okerefor A, Allsop J, Counsell SJ, et al. Patterns of brain injury in neonates exposed to perinatal sentinel events. <i>Pediatrics.</i> 2008; <b>121</b> : 906-914.
108	Stinton C, Farran EK, Courbois Y. Mental rotation in Williams's syndrome: an impaired ability. <i>Dev Neuropsychol.</i> 2008; <b>33</b> : 565-583.
109	Stojanovik V, Riddell P. Expressive versus receptive language skills in specific reading disorder. <i>Clin Linguist Phon.</i> 2008; <b>22</b> : 305-310.

110	Williams C, Miller LL, Gazzard G, Saw SM. A comparison of measures of reading and intelligence as risk factors for the development of myopia in a UK cohort of children. <i>Br J Ophthalmol</i> . 2008; <b>92</b> : 1117-1121.
111	Auyeung B, Baron-Cohen S, Ashwin E, Knickmeyer R, Taylor K, Kackett G. Fetal testosterone and autistic traits. <i>Br J Psychol</i> . 2009; <b>100</b> : 1-22.
112	Baxter PS, Rigby AS, Rotsaert MHEPD, Wright I. Acquired microcephaly: causes, patterns, motor and IQ effects, and associated growth changes. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2009; <b>124</b> : 590-595.
113	Bishop DVM, McDonald D. Identifying language impairment in children: combining language test scores with parental report. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> . 2009; <b>44</b> : 600-615.
114	Deconinck FJA, Spitaels L, Fias W, Lenoir M. Is developmental coordination disorder a motor imagery deficit? <i>J Clin Exp Neuropsychol</i> . 2009; <b>31</b> : 720-730.
115	Edwards L, Thomas F, Rajput K. Use of a revised children's implant profile (GOSHCHIP) in candidacy for paediatric cochlear implantation and in predicting outcome. <i>Int J Audiol</i> . 2009; <b>48</b> : 554-560.
116	Godfrey E, Cleare A, Coddington A, Roberts, Weinman, J, Chalder T. Chronic fatigue syndrome in adolescents: do parental expectations of their child's intellectual ability match the child's ability? <i>J Psychosom Res</i> . 2009; <b>67</b> : 165-168.
117	Gregory AM, Caspi A, Moffit TE, Poulton R. Sleep problems in childhood predict neuropsychological functioning in adolescence. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2009; <b>123</b> : 1171-1176.
118	Hall AJ, Maw AR, Steer CD. Developmental outcomes in early compared with delayed surgery for glue ear up to age 7 years: a randomised controlled trial. <i>Clin Otolaryngol</i> . 2009; <b>34</b> : 12-20.
119	Isaacs EB, Morley R, Lucas A. Early diet and general cognitive outcome at adolescence in children born at or below 30 weeks gestation. <i>J Pediatr</i> . 2009; <b>155</b> : 229-234.
120	Johnson S, Fawke J, Hennessy E, et al. Neurodevelopmental disability through 11 years of age in children born before 26 weeks of gestation. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2009; <b>124</b> : 249-257.
121	Meiser-Stedman R, Dalgleish T, Gluckman E, Yule W, Smith P. Maladaptive cognitive appraisals mediate the evolution of posttraumatic stress reaction: A 6 month follow-up of child and adolescent assault and motor vehicle accident survivors. <i>J Abnorm Psychol</i> . 2009; <b>118</b> : 778-787.
122	Odd DE, Lewis G, Whitelaw A, Gunnell D. Resuscitation at birth and cognition at 8 years of age: a cohort study. <i>Lancet</i> . 2009; <b>373</b> : 1615-1622.
123	Robinson S, Goddard L, Dritschel B, Wisley M, Howlin P. Executive functions in children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>Brain Cogn</i> . 2009; <b>71</b> : 362-368.
124	Sayal K, Heron J, Golding J, et al. Binge pattern of alcohol consumption during pregnancy and childhood mental health outcomes: longitudinal population based study. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2009; <b>123</b> : 289-296.
125	Sussman J, McIntosh AM, Lawrie SM, Johnstone EC. Obstetric complications and mild to moderate intellectual ability. <i>Br J Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>194</b> : 224-228.
126	Baird G, Dworzynski K, Slonims V, Simonoff E. Memory impairment in children with language impairment. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2010; <b>52</b> : 535-540.
127	Tadic V, Pring L, Dale N. Attentional processes in young children with congenital visual impairment. <i>Br J Dev Psychol</i> . 2009; <b>27</b> : 311-330.
128	Boardman JP, Craven C, Valappil S, et al. A common neonatal image phenotype predicts adverse neurodevelopmental outcome in children born preterm. <i>NeuroImage</i> . 2010; <b>52</b> : 409-414.
129	Bromley R, Mawer G, Love J, et al. Early cognitive development in children born to women with epilepsy: a prospective report. <i>Epilepsia</i> . 2010; <b>51</b> : 2058-2065.
130	Cullen AE, Dickson H, West SA, et al. Neurocognitive performance in children aged 9-12 years who present putative antecedents of schizophrenia. <i>Schizophr Res</i> . 2010; <b>121</b> : 15-23.
131	Delahunty C, Falconer S, Hume R, et al. Levels of neonatal thyroid hormone in preterm infants and neurodevelopmental outcome at 5.5 years: Millennium cohort study. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab</i> . 2010; <b>95</b> : 4898-4908. <b>(THIS IS REFERENCE 2 IN THE PAPER REFERENCE LIST.)</b>
132	Hayiou-Thomas ME, Harlaar N, Dale PS, Plomin R. Preschool speech, language skills, and reading at 7, 9, and 10 years: Etiology of the relationship. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res</i> . 2010; <b>53</b> : 311-332.
133	Ho AK, Wilmut K. Speech and oro-motor function in children with Developmental coordination disorder: A pilot study. <i>Hum Mov Sci</i> . 2010; <b>29</b> : 605-614.
134	Hogan AM, Virues-Ortega J, Botti AB, et al. Development of aptitude at altitude. <i>Dev Sci</i> . 2010; <b>13</b> : 533-544.
135	Hughes C, Ensor R, Wilson A. Tracking executive function across the transition to school: A latent variable approach. <i>Dev Neuropsychol</i> . 2010; <b>35</b> : 20-36.
136	Isaacs EB, Fischl BR, Quinn BT, Chong WK, Gadian DG, Lucas A. Impact of breast milk on intelligence quotient, brain size, and white matter development. <i>Pediatr Res</i> . 2010; <b>67</b> : 357-362.
137	Johnson S, Hollis C, Kochlar P, Hennessy E, Wolke D, Marlow N. Psychiatric disorders in extremely preterm children: Longitudinal finding at age 11 years in the EPICure study. <i>J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry</i> . 2010; <b>49</b> : 453-463.



138	Mackie L, Law J. Pragmatic language and the child with emotional/behavioural difficulties (EBD): a pilot study exploring the interaction between behaviour and communication disability. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2010; <b>45</b> : 397-410.
139	Nation K, Cocksey J, Taylor JSH, Bishop DVM. A longitudinal investigation of early reading and language skills in children with poor reading comprehension. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2010; <b>51</b> : 1031-1039.
140	Rosen S, Cohen M, Vanniasegaram I. Auditory and cognitive abilities of children suspected of auditory processing disorder (APD). <i>Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.</i> 2010; <b>74</b> : 594-600.
141	Scope A, Empson J, McHale S. Executive function in children with high and low attentional skills: Correspondences between behavioural and cognitive profiles. <i>Br J Dev Psychol.</i> 2010; <b>28</b> : 293-305.
142	St Clair MC, Durkin K, Conti-Ramsden G, Pickles A. Growth of reading skills in children with a history of specific language impairment: The role of autistic symptomatology and language-related abilities. <i>Br J Dev Psychol.</i> 2010; <b>28</b> : 109-131.
143	Cummings C, Stewart M, Stevenson M, Morrow J, Nelson J. Neurodevelopment of children exposed in utero to lamotrigine, sodium valproate and carbamazepine. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2011; <b>96</b> : 643-647.
144	Gooch D, Snowling M, Hulme C. Time perception, phonological skills and executive function in children with dyslexia and/or ADHD symptoms. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry.</i> 2011; <b>52</b> : 195-203.
145	Johnson S, Wolke D, Hennessy E, Marlow N. Educational outcomes in extremely preterm children: Neuropsychological correlates and predictors of attainment. <i>Dev Neuropsychol.</i> 2011; <b>36</b> : 74-95.
146	Cleland J, Wood S, Hardcastle W, Wishart J, Timmins C. Relationship between speech, oromotor, language and cognitive abilities in children with Down's syndrome. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2010; <b>45</b> : 83-95.
147	Scott FE, Mechelli A, Allin MP, et al. Very preterm adolescents show gender-dependent alteration of brain correlates of spelling abilities. <i>Neuropsychologia.</i> 2011; <b>49</b> : 2685-2693.
148	Skirrow C, Cross JH, Cormack F, Harkness W, Vargha-Khadem F, Baldeweg T. Long-term intellectual outcome after temporal lobe surgery in childhood. <i>Neurology.</i> 2011; <b>76</b> : 1330-1337.
149	Sylva K, Stein A, Leach P, Barnes J, Malmberg L-E and the FCCC-team. Effects of early child-care on cognition, language, and task related behaviours at 18 months: An English study. <i>Br J Dev Psychol.</i> 2011; <b>29</b> : 18-45.
150	van Kooij BJM, de Vries LS, Ball G, et al. Neonatal tract-based spatial statistics findings and outcome in preterm infants. <i>Am J Neuroradiol.</i> 2012; <b>33</b> : 188-194.
151	Fellick JM, Thomson APJ, Sills J, Hart CA. Neurological soft signs in mainstream pupils. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2001; <b>85</b> : 371-374.
152	Al-Dahhan J, Jannoun L, Haycock GB. Effect of salt supplementation of newborn premature infants on neurodevelopmental outcome at 10-13 years of age. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.</i> 2002; <b>86</b> : F120-F123.
153	Bosson S, Holland PC, Barrow S. A visual motor psychological test as a predictor to treatment in nocturnal enuresis. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2002; <b>87</b> : 188-191.
154	Cooke RWI, Foulder-Hughes L. Growth impairment in the very preterm and cognitive and motor performance at 7 years. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2003; <b>88</b> : 482-487.
155	Maharasingam M, Ostman-Smith I, Pike MG. A cohort study of neurodevelopmental outcome in children with DiGeorge syndrome following cardiac surgery. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2003; <b>88</b> : 61-64.
156	Barnett AL, Guzzetta A, Mercuri E, et al. Can the Griffiths scales predict neuromotor and perceptual-motor impairment in term infants with neonatal encephalopathy? <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2004; <b>89</b> : 637-643.
157	Brown K, Rodgers J, Johnstone H, et al. Abnormal cognitive function in treated congenital hypopituitarism. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2004; <b>89</b> : 827-830.
158	Gale CR, O'Callaghan FJ, Godfrey KM, Law CM, Martyn CN. Critical periods of brain growth and cognitive function in children. <i>Brain.</i> 2004; <b>127</b> : 321-329.
159	O'Brien F, Roth S, Stewart A, Rifkin L, Rushe T, Wyatt J. The neurodevelopmental progress of infants less than 33 weeks into adolescence. <i>Arch Dis Child.</i> 2004; <b>89</b> : 207-211.
160	Deave T. Associations between child development and women's attitudes to pregnancy and motherhood. <i>J Reprod Infant Psychol.</i> 2005; <b>23</b> : 63-75.
161	Hick R, Botting N, Conti-Ramsden G. Cognitive abilities in children with specific language impairment: consideration of visuo-spatial skills. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis.</i> 2005; <b>40</b> : 137-149.
162	Marlow N, Wolke D, Bracewell MA, Samara M. Neurologic and developmental disability at six years of age after extremely preterm birth. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2005; <b>352</b> : 9-19.
163	Schulte J, Osborne J, Benson JWT, et al. Developmental outcome of the use of etamsylate for prevention of periventricular haemorrhage in a randomised controlled trial. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.</i> 2005; <b>90</b> : F31-F35.
164	Flynn E. A microgenetic investigation of stability and continuity in theory of mind development. <i>Br J Dev Psychol.</i> 2006; <b>24</b> : 631-654.
165	Brock J, Jarrold C, Farran EK, Laws G, Riby DM. Do children with Williams syndrome really have good vocabulary knowledge? Methods for comparing cognitive and linguistic abilities in developmental disorders. <i>Clin Linguist Phon.</i> 2007; <b>21</b> : 673-688.

166	Camilleri B, Law J. Assessing children referred to speech and language therapy: Static and dynamic assessment of receptive vocabulary. <i>Adv Speech Lang Pathol</i> . 2007; <b>9</b> : 312-322.
167	Clark A, O'Hare A, Watson J, et al. Severe receptive language disorder in childhood – familial aspects and long-term outcomes: results from a Scottish study. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2007; <b>92</b> : 614-619.
168	Coghill DR, Rhodes SM, Matthews K. The neuropsychological effects of chronic methylphenidate on drug-naïve boys with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. <i>Biol Psychiatry</i> . 2007; <b>62</b> : 954-962.
169	Wilding JM, Pankhania P, Williams A. Effects of speed and accuracy instructions on performance in a visual search task by children with good or poor attention. <i>Br J Psychol</i> . 2007; <b>98</b> : 127-139.
170	Archibald LMD, Alloway TP. Comparing language profiles: children with specific language impairment and developmental coordination disorder. <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> . 2008; <b>43</b> : 165-180.
171	Ellis JM, Kuan Tan H, Gilbert RE, et al. Supplementation with antioxidants and folic acid for children with Down's syndrome: randomised controlled trial. <i>BMJ</i> . 2008; <b>336</b> : 594-597.
172	Haskell CF, Scholey AB, Jackson PA, et al. Cognitive and mood effects in healthy children during 12 weeks' supplementation with multi-vitamin/minerals. <i>Br J Nutr</i> . 2008; <b>100</b> : 1086-1096.
173	Owens G, Granader Y, Humphrey A, Baron-Cohen S. LEGO® Therapy and the social use of language programme: An evaluation of two social skills interventions for children with high functioning autism and Asperger syndrome. <i>J Autism Dev Disord</i> . 2008; <b>38</b> : 1944-1957.
174	Strain JJ, Davidson PW, Bonham MP, et al. Associations of maternal long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, methyl mercury, and infant development in the Seychelles child development nutrition study. <i>Neurotoxicology</i> . 2008; <b>29</b> : 776-782.
175	Tan M, Abernethy L, Cooke R. Improving head growth in preterm infants – a randomised controlled trial II: MRI and developmental outcomes in the first year. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2008; <b>93</b> : F342-F346.
176	Skuse DH, Mandy W, Steer C, et al. Social communication competence and functional adaptation in a general population of children: Preliminary evidence for sex-by-verbal IQ differential risk. <i>J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>48</b> : 128-137.
177	Dawes P, Bishop DVM. Psychometric profile of children with auditory processing disorder and children with dyslexia. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2010; <b>95</b> : 432-436.
178	Mulder H, Pitchford NJ, Marlow N. Processing speed and working memory underlie academic attainment in very preterm children. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2010; <b>95</b> : F267-F272.
179	Barker ED, Jaffee SR, Uher R, Maughan B. The contribution of prenatal and postnatal maternal anxiety and depression to child maladjustment. <i>Depress Anxiety</i> . 2011; <b>28</b> : 696-702.
180	Bath SC, Steer CD, Golding J, Emmett P, Rayman MP. Effect of inadequate iodine status in UK pregnant women on cognitive outcomes in their children: results from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children (ALSPAC). <i>Lancet</i> . 2013; <b>382</b> : 331-337.
181	Brookes RL, Stirling J. The cerebellar deficit hypothesis and dyslexic tendencies in a non-clinical sample. <i>Dyslexia</i> . 2005; <b>11</b> : 174-185.
182	Conti-Ramsden G, Simkin Z, Botting N. The prevalence of autistic spectrum disorders in adolescents with a history of specific language impairment (SLI). <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2006; <b>47</b> : 621-628.
183	Conti-Ramsden G, Botting N. Emotional health in adolescents with and without a history of specific language impairment (SLI). <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2008; <b>49</b> : 516-525.
184	de Vries PJ, Gardiner J, Bolton PF. Neuropsychological attention deficits in tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC). <i>Am J Med Genet Part A</i> . 2009; <b>149A</b> : 387-395.
185	Murray L, Woolgar M, Martins C, Christaki A, Hipwell A, Cooper P. Conversations around homework: Links to parental mental health, family characteristics and child psychological functioning. <i>Br J Dev Psychol</i> . 2006; <b>24</b> : 125-149.
186	Hibbeln JR, Davis JM, Steer C, et al. Maternal seafood consumption in pregnancy and neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood (ALSPAC study): an observational cohort study. <i>Lancet</i> . 2007; <b>369</b> : 578-585.
187	Kirby A, Woodward A, Jackson S, Wang Y, Crawford MA. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study investigating the effects of omega-3 supplementation in children aged 8-10 years from a mainstream school population. <i>Res Dev Disabil</i> . 2010; <b>31</b> : 718-730.
188	Sutcliffe AG, Taylor B, Saunders K, Thornton S, Lieberman BA, Grudzinskas JG. Outcome in the second year of life after in-vitro fertilisation by intracytoplasmic sperm injection: a UK case-control study. <i>Lancet</i> . 2001; <b>357</b> : 2080-2084.
189	Wood NS, Costeloe K, Gibson AT, Hennessy EM, Marlow N, Wilkinson AR, for the EPICure study group. The EPICure study: associations and antecedents of neurological and developmental disability at 30 months of age following extremely preterm birth. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2005; <b>90</b> : F134-F140.
190	Snowling MJ, Bishop DVM, Stothard SE, Chipchase B, Kaplan C. Psychosocial outcomes at 15 years of children with a preschool history of speech-language impairment. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2006; <b>47</b> : 759-765.
191	Bitsakou P, Psychogiou L, Thompson M, Sonuga-Barke EJS. Delay aversion in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: An empirical investigation of the broader phenotype. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> . 2009; <b>47</b> : 446-456.

192	Sonuga-Barke E, Bitsakou P, Thompson M. Beyond the dual pathway model: Evidence for the dissociation of timing, inhibitory, and delay-related impairments in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. <i>J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry</i> . 2010; <b>49</b> : 345-355.
193	Brown K, Rodgers J, Johnstone H, et al. Abnormal cognitive function in treated congenital hypopituitarism. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2004; <b>89</b> : 827-830.
194	Svirko E, Mellanby J, Impey L. The association between cord pH at birth and intellectual function in childhood. <i>Early Hum Dev</i> . 2008; <b>84</b> : 37-41.
195	Turton P, Badenhorst W, Pawlby S, White S, Hughes P. Psychological vulnerability in children next born after stillbirth: a case-control follow up study. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>50</b> : 1451-1458.
196	Vollmer B, Roth S, Riley K, et al. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of preterm children with unilateral cerebral lesions diagnosed by neonatal ultrasound. <i>Early Hum Dev</i> . 2006; <b>82</b> : 655-661.
197	Arseneault L, Kim-Cohen J, Taylor A, Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Psychometric evaluation of 5- and 7- year old children's self-reports of conduct problems. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol</i> . 2005; <b>33</b> : 537-550.
198	Barnett JH, Heron J, Goldman D, Jones PB, Xu K. Effects of catechol-O-methyltransferase on normal variation in the cognitive function of children. <i>Am J Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>166</b> : 909-916.
199	Bayless S, Stevenson J. Executive functions in school-age children born very prematurely. <i>Early Hum Dev</i> . 2007; <b>83</b> : 247-254.
200	Chapman P, Ropar D, Mitchell P, Ackroyd K. Understanding boundary extension: Normalization and extension errors in picture memory among adults and boys with and without Asperger's syndrome. <i>Vis Cogn</i> . 2005; <b>12</b> : 1265-1290.
201	Conti-Ramsden G, Botting N, Knox E, Simkin Z. Different school placements following language unit attendance: which factors affect language outcome? <i>Int J Lang Comm Dis</i> . 2002; <b>37</b> : 185-195.
202	Corbett SS, Drewett RF, Durham M, Tymms P, Wright CM. The relationship between birthweight, weight gain in infancy, and educational attainment in childhood. <i>Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol</i> . 2007; <b>21</b> : 57-64.
203	Cormack F, Cross JH, Isaacs E, Harkness W, Wright I, Vargha-Khadem F, Baldeweg T. Development of intellectual abilities in pediatric temporal lobe epilepsy. <i>Epilepsia</i> . 2007; <b>48</b> : 201-204.
204	Davidson A, Tait DM, Payne GS, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the evaluation of neurotoxicity following cranial irradiation for childhood cancer. <i>Br J Radiol</i> . 2000; <b>73</b> : 421-424.
205	Christie D, Viner RM, Knox K, et al. Long-term outcomes of pneumococcal meningitis in childhood and adolescence. <i>Eur J Pediatr</i> . 2011; <b>170</b> : 997-1006.
206	Dunkley C, Kung J, Scott RC, et al. Epilepsy surgery in children under 3 years. <i>Epilepsy Res</i> . 2011; <b>93</b> : 96-106.
207	Durkin K, Conti-Ramsden G. Language, social behaviour, and the quality of friendships in adolescents with and without a history of specific language impairment. <i>Child Dev</i> . 2007; <b>78</b> : 1441-1457.
208	Edmonds CJ, Isaacs EB, Cole TJ, et al. The effect of intrauterine growth on verbal IQ scores in childhood: A study of monozygotic twins. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2010; <b>126</b> : e1095-e1101.
209	Cooke RWI, Foulder-Hughes L, Newsham D, Clarke D. Ophthalmic impairment at 7 years of age in children born very preterm. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2004; <b>89</b> : F249-F253.
210	Gale CR, Martyn CN, Marriott LD, et al. Dietary patterns in infancy and cognitive and neuropsychological function in childhood. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>50</b> : 816-823.
211	Gale CR, Marriott LD, Martyn CN, et al. Breastfeeding, the use of docosahexaenoic acid-fortified formulas in infancy and neuropsychological function in childhood. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2010; <b>95</b> : 174-179.
212	Halsey C, Buck G, Richards S, Vargha-Khadem F, Hill F, Gibson B. The impact of therapy for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia on intelligence quotients; results of the risk-stratified randomized central nervous system treatment trial MRC UKALL XI. <i>J Hematol Oncol</i> . 2011; <b>4</b> : 42.
213	Kini U, Adab N, Vinten J, Fryer A, Clayton-Smith J on behalf of the Liverpool and Manchester Neurodevelopmental Study Group. Dysmorphic features: an important clue to the diagnosis and severity of fetal anticonvulsant syndromes. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2006; <b>91</b> : F90-F95.
214	Hay DF, Pawlby S, Waters CS, Sharp D. Antepartum and postpartum exposure to maternal depression: different effects on different adolescent outcomes. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2008; <b>49</b> : 1079-1088.
215	Marlow N, Rose AS, Rands CE, Draper ES. Neuropsychological and educational problems at school age associated with neonatal encephalopathy. <i>Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed</i> . 2005; <b>90</b> : F380-F387.
216	Hood J, Baird G, Rankin PM, Isaacs E. Immediate effects of methylphenidate on cognitive attention skills of children with attention-deficit-hyperactivity disorder. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2005; <b>47</b> : 408-414.
217	Horwood J, Salvi G, Thomas K, et al. IQ and non-clinical psychotic symptoms in 12-year-olds: results from the ALSPAC birth cohort. <i>Br J Psychiatry</i> . 2008; <b>193</b> : 185-191.
218	Huisman M, Araya R, Lawlor DA, Ormel J, Verhulst FC, Oldehinkel AJ. Cognitive ability, parental socioeconomic position and internalising and externalising problems in adolescence: Findings from two European cohort studies. <i>Eur J Epidemiol</i> . 2010; <b>25</b> : 569-580.
219	Isaacs EB, Edmonds CJ, Lucas A, Gadian DG. Calculation difficulties in children of very low birthweight. A Neural correlate. <i>Brain</i> . 2001; <b>124</b> : 1701-1707.

220	Joinson C, Heron J, Butler R, et al. A United Kingdom population-based study of intellectual capacities in children with and without soiling, daytime wetting, and bed-wetting. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2007; <b>120</b> : e308-e316.
221	Kim-Cohen J, Arseneault L, Newcombe R, et al. Five year predictive validity of DSM-IV conduct disorder research diagnosis in 4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> -5-year-old children. <i>Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry</i> . 2009; <b>18</b> : 284-291.
222	Kirby A, Woodward A, Jackson S, Wang Y, Crawford MA. Childrens' learning and behaviour and the association with cheek cell polyunsaturated fatty acid levels. <i>Res Dev Disabil</i> . 2010; <b>31</b> : 731-742,
223	Kravariti E, Jacobson C, Morris R, et al. Memory in intellectually matched groups of young participants with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and those with schizophrenia. <i>Res Dev Disabil</i> . 2010; <b>31</b> : 864-868.
224	Kroll L, Rothwell J, Bradley D, Shah P, Bailey S, Harrington RC. Mental health needs of boys in secure care for serious or persistent offending: a prospective, longitudinal study. <i>Lancet</i> . 2002; <b>359</b> : 1975-1979.
225	Kuntsi J, Oosterlaan J, Stevenson J. Psychological mechanisms in hyperactivity: I response inhibition deficit, working memory impairment, delay aversion or something else? <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2001; <b>42</b> : 199-210.
226	Kyte ZA, Goodyer IM, Sahakian BJ. Selected executive skills in adolescents with recent first episode major depression. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2005; <b>46</b> : 995-1005.
227	Reed P, Osborne LA, Corness M. Effectiveness of special nursery provision for children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>Autism</i> . 2010; <b>14</b> : 67-82.
228	Magiati I, Charman T, Howlin P. A two-year prospective follow-up study of community-based early intensive behavioural intervention and specialist nursery provision for children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2007; <b>48</b> : 803-812.
229	Mehta MA, Goodyer IM, Sahakian BJ. Methylphenidate improves working memory and set-shifting in AD/HD: relationships to baseline memory capacity. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2004; <b>45</b> : 293-305.
230	Milner KM, Craig EE, Thompson RJ, et al. Prader-Willi syndrome: intellectual abilities and behavioural features by genetic subtype. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2005; <b>46</b> : 1089-1096.
231	Newbury DF, Cleak JD, Banfield, et al. Highly significant linkage to the SLI1 locus in an expanded sample of individuals affected by specific language impairment. <i>Am J Hum Genet</i> . 2004; <b>74</b> : 1225-1238.
232	Mulligan J, Stratford RJ, Bailey BJR, McCaughey ES, Betts PR. Hormones and handedness. <i>Horm Res</i> . 2001; <b>56</b> : 51-57.
233	Munir F, Cornish KM, Wilding J. A neuropsychological profile of attention deficits in young males with fragile X syndrome. <i>Neuropsychologia</i> . 2000; <b>38</b> : 1261-1270.
234	Nosarti C, Walshe M. Neonatal ultrasound results following very preterm birth predict adolescent behavioural and cognitive outcome. <i>Dev Neuropsychol</i> . 2011; <b>36</b> : 118-135.
235	Park RJ, Goodyer IM, Teasdale JD. Categorical overgeneral autobiographical memory in adolescents with major depressive disorder. <i>Psychol Med</i> . 2002; <b>32</b> : 267-276.
236	Park RJ, Goodyer IM, Teasdale JD. Effects of induced rumination and distraction on mood and overgeneral autobiographical memory in adolescent major depressive disorder and controls. <i>J Child Psychol Psychiatry</i> . 2004; <b>45</b> : 996-1006.
237	Pearson RM, Heron J, Melotti R, et al. The association between observed non-verbal maternal responses at 12 months and later infant development at 18 months and IQ at 4 years: A longitudinal study. <i>Infant Behav Dev</i> . 2011; <b>34</b> : 525-533.
238	Roth S, Amess P, Kirkbride V, et al. A comparison of linear array and mechanical sector cranial ultrasound scanning techniques to predict neurodevelopmental outcome at 8 years in preterm newborn infants. <i>Ultrasound Med Biol</i> . 2001; <b>27</b> : 467-472.
239	Shield JPH, Wadsworth EJK, MacDonald A, et al. The relationship of genotype to cognitive outcome in galactosaemia. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2000; <b>83</b> : 248-250.
240	Stevenson J, Cate IP. The nature of hyperactivity in children and adolescents with hydrocephalus: A test of the dual pathway model. <i>Neural Plast</i> . 2004; <b>11</b> : 13-21.
241	Sutcliffe AG, Soo A, Barnes J. Predictive value of developmental testing in the second year for cognitive development at five years of age. <i>Pediatric Reports</i> . 2010; <b>2</b> : e15.
242	Wright B, Clarke N, Jordan J, et al. Emotion recognition in faces and the use of visual context in young people with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. <i>Autism</i> . 2008; <b>12</b> : 607-626.
243	Astle DE, Nobre AC, Scerif G. Attentional control constrains visual short-term memory: Insights from developmental and individual differences. <i>Q J Exp Psychol</i> . 2012; <b>65</b> : 277-294.
244	Wood NS, Marlow N, Costeloe K, Gibson AT, Wilkinson AR. Neurologic and developmental disability after extremely preterm birth. EPICure Study Group. <i>N Engl J Med</i> . 2000; <b>343</b> : 378-384.
245	Toland J, Boyle C. Applying cognitive behavioural methods to retrain children's attributions for success and failure in learning. <i>School Psychol Int</i> . 2008; <b>29</b> : 286-302
246	Atkinson J, Braddick O. Visual and visuocognitive development in children born very prematurely. <i>Prog Brain Res</i> . 2007; <b>164</b> : 123-149.
247	Alloway TP. Working memory and executive function profiles of individuals with borderline intellectual functioning. <i>J Intell Disabil Res</i> . 2010; <b>54</b> : 448-456.



248	Bishop DVM, Clarkson B. Written language as a window into residual language deficits: A study of children with persistent and residual speech and language impairments. <i>Cortex</i> . 2003; <b>39</b> : 215-237.
249	Byrne A, MacDonald J, Buckley S. Reading, language and memory skills: A comparative longitudinal study of children with Down syndrome and their mainstream peers. <i>Br J Educ Psychol</i> . 2002; <b>72</b> : 513-529.
250	Clegg J, Stackhouse J, Finch K, Murphy C, Nicholls S. Language abilities of secondary age pupils at risk of school exclusion: A preliminary report. <i>Child Lang Teach Ther</i> . 2009; <b>25</b> : 123-140.
251	Coleman N, Hare DJ, Farrell P, van Manen T. The use of the social cognitive skills test with children with autistic spectrum disorders. <i>J Intellect Disabil</i> . 2008; <b>12</b> : 49-57.
252	Cottrell SM, Morris KP, Davies P, Bellingier DC, Jonas RA, Newburger JW. Early postoperative body temperature and developmental outcome after open heart surgery in infants. <i>Ann Thorac Surg</i> . 2004; <b>77</b> : 66-71.
253	Drew A, Baird G, Taylor E, Milne E, Charman T. The social communication assessment for toddlers with autism (SCATA): An instrument to measure the frequency, form and function of communication in toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. <i>J Autism Dev Disord</i> . 2007; <b>37</b> : 648-666.
254	Elison S, Shears D, Nadel S, Sahakian B, Garralda ME. Neuropsychological function in children following admission to paediatric intensive care: a pilot investigation. <i>Intensive Care Med</i> . 2008; <b>34</b> : 1289-1293.
255	Gallon N, Harris J, van der Lely H. Non-word repetition: An investigation of phonological complexity in children with grammatical SLI. <i>Clin Linguist Phon</i> . 2007; <b>21</b> : 435-455.
256	Happe F, Booth R, Charlton R, Hughes C. Executive function deficits in autism spectrum disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Examining profiles across domains and ages. <i>Brain Cogn</i> . 2006; <b>61</b> : 25-39.
257	Harding L, Walker LG, Lloyd D, Duffty P. A controlled study of children born at gestation 28 weeks or less: psychological characteristics at seven to ten years of age. <i>Health Bull (Edinb)</i> . 2001; <b>59</b> : 81-90.
258	Holmes J, Gathercole SE, Place M, Alloway TP, Elliott JG, Hilton KA. The diagnostic utility of executive function assessments in the identification of ADHD in children. <i>Child Adolesc Ment Health</i> . 2010; <b>15</b> : 37-43.
259	Jarrold C, Baddeley AD, Phillips CE. Verbal short-term memory in Down syndrome: A problem of memory, audition, or speech? <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res</i> . 2002; <b>45</b> : 531-544.
260	Livingstone N, McPhillips M. Motor skill deficits in children with partial hearing. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> . 2011; <b>53</b> : 836-842.
261	McCartney E, Boyle J, Ellis S, Bannatyne S, Turnbull M. Indirect language therapy for children with persistent language impairment in mainstream primary schools: outcomes from a cohort intervention. <i>Int J Lang Commun Disord</i> . 2011; <b>46</b> : 74-82.
262	Milne E, Griffiths H, Buckley D, Scope A. Vision in children and adolescents with autistic spectrum disorder: Evidence for reduced convergence. <i>J Autism Dev Disord</i> . 2009; <b>39</b> : 965-975.
263	O'Connor AR, Stephenson TJ, Johnson A, et al. A comparison of findings on parents' and teachers' questionnaires, and detailed ophthalmic and psychological assessments. <i>Arch Dis Child</i> . 2004; <b>89</b> : 831-835.
264	Rogers MH, Lwin R, Fairbanks L, Gerritsen B, Gaspar HB. Cognitive and behavioural abnormalities in adenosine deaminase deficient severe combined immunodeficiency. <i>J Pediatr</i> . 2001; <b>139</b> : 44-50.
265	Rosen S, Adlard A, van der Lely HKJ. Backward and simultaneous masking in children with grammatical specific language impairment: No simple link between auditory and language abilities. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res</i> . 2009; <b>52</b> : 396-411.
266	Roth S, Wyatt J, Baudin J, et al. Neurodevelopmental status at 1 year predicts neuropsychiatric outcome at 14-15 years of age in very preterm infants. <i>Early Hum Dev</i> . 2001; <b>65</b> : 81-89.
267	Sugden DA, Chambers ME. Stability and change in children with developmental coordination disorder. <i>Child Care Health Dev</i> . 2007; <b>33</b> : 520-528.
268	White SJ, Burgess PW, Hill EL. Impairments on "open-ended" executive function tests in autism. <i>Autism Res</i> . 2009; <b>2</b> : 138-147.
269	Wood C, Meachem S, Bowyer S, Jackson E, Tarczynski-Bowles ML, Plester B. A longitudinal study of children's text messaging and literacy development. <i>Br J Psychol</i> . 2011; <b>102</b> : 431-442.
270	Edwards L, Khan S, Broxhulme C, Langdon D. Exploration of the cognitive and behavioural consequences of paediatric cochlear implantation. <i>Cochlear Implants Int</i> . 2006; <b>7</b> : 61-76.
271	Hart A, Whitby E, Wilkinson S, Alladi S, Paley M, Smith M. Neuro-developmental outcome at 18 months in premature infants with diffuse excessive high signal intensity on MR imaging of the brain. <i>Pediatr Radiol</i> . 2011; <b>41</b> : 1284-1292.
272	Camilleri B, Botting N. Beyond static assessment of children's receptive vocabulary: the dynamic assessment of word learning (DAWL). <i>Int J Lang Commun Disord</i> . 2013; <b>48</b> : 565-581.
273	Everitt A, Hannaford P, Conti-Ramsden G. Markers for persistent specific expressive language delay in 3-4 year olds. <i>Int J Lang Commun Disord</i> . 2013; <b>48</b> : 534-553.
274	Wan MW, Green J, Elsabbagh M, Johnson M, Charman T, Plummer F, and the BASIS Team. Quality of interaction between at-risk infants and caregiver at 12-15 months is associated with a 3 year autism outcome. <i>J Child Psychol Psc</i> . 2013; <b>54</b> : 763-771.
275	Garg S, Green J, Leadbitter K, et al. Neurofibromatosis Type 1 and autism spectrum disorder. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 2013:

	<b>132:</b> e1642-e1648.
<b>276</b>	Meador KJ, Baker G, Browning N, et al. Breastfeeding in Children of Women Taking Antiepileptic Drugs Cognitive Outcomes at Age 6 Years. <i>JAMA Pediatr.</i> 2014; <b>168</b> : 729-736.
<b>277</b>	Newbold EJ, Stackhouse J, Wells B. Tracking change in children with severe and persisting speech difficulties. <i>Clin Linguist Phonet.</i> 2013; <b>27</b> : 521-539.
<b>278</b>	Schmid G, Wolke D. Preschool regulatory problems and attention-deficit/hyperactivity and cognitive deficits at school age in children born at risk: Different phenotypes of dysregulation? <i>Early Hum Dev.</i> 2014; <b>90</b> : 399-405.
<b>279</b>	Humphrey A, MacLean C, Ploubidis GB, et al. Intellectual development before and after the onset of infantile spasms: A controlled prospective longitudinal study in tuberous sclerosis. <i>Epilepsia.</i> 2014; <b>55</b> : 108-116.
<b>280</b>	McKean C, Letts C, Howard D. Developmental change is key to understanding primary language impairment: The case of photactic probability and nonword repetition. <i>J Speech Lang Hear Res.</i> 2013; <b>56</b> : 1579-1594.
<b>281</b>	Carney DPJ, Henry LA, Messer DJ, Danielsson H, Brown JH, Rönnerberg J. Using developmental trajectories to examine verbal and visuospatial short-term memory development in children and adolescents with Williams and Down syndromes. <i>Res Dev Disabil.</i> 2013; <b>34</b> : 3421-3432.
<b>282</b>	Willatts P, Forsyth S, Agostoni C, Casaer P, Riva E, Boehm G. Effects of long-chain PUFA supplementation in infant formula on cognitive function in later childhood. <i>Am J Clin Nutr.</i> 2013; <b>98</b> : 536S-542S.
<b>283</b>	Stringaris A, Goodman R. The value of measuring impact alongside symptoms in children and adolescents: A longitudinal assessment in a community sample. <i>J Abnorm Child Psychol.</i> 2013; <b>41</b> : 1109-1120.
<b>284</b>	Ahuja A, Martin J, Langley K, Thapar A. Intellectual disability in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. <i>J Pediatr.</i> 2013; <b>163</b> : 890-895.
<b>285</b>	O'Keeffe F, Liegeois F, Eve M, Ganesan V, King J, Murphy T. Neuropsychological and neurobehavioral outcome following childhood arterial ischemic stroke: Attention deficits, emotional dysregulation, and executive dysfunction. <i>Child Neuropsychol.</i> 2014; <b>20</b> : 557-582.
<b>286</b>	Azzopardi D, Strohm B, Marlow N, et al. Effects of hypothermia for perinatal asphyxia on childhood outcomes. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2014; <b>371</b> : 140-149.
<b>287</b>	Li F, He N, Li Y, et al. Intrinsic brain abnormalities in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A resting-state functional MR imaging study. <i>Radiology.</i> 2014; <b>272</b> : 514-523.
<b>288</b>	Hughes C, Roman G, Hart MJ, Ensor R. Does maternal depression predict young children's executive function? – a 4-year longitudinal study. <i>J Child Psychol Psych.</i> 2013; <b>54</b> : 169-177.
<b>289</b>	Khandaker GM, Stochl J, Zammit S, Lewis G, Jones PB. Childhood Epstein-Barr virus infection and subsequent risk of psychotic experiences in adolescence: A population-based prospective serological study. <i>Schizophr Res.</i> 2014; <b>158</b> : 19-24.
<b>290</b>	Kothari R, Solmi F, Treasure J, Micali N. The neuropsychological profile of children at high risk of developing an eating disorder. <i>Psychol Med.</i> 2013; <b>43</b> : 1543-1554.
<b>291</b>	Price CJ, Ramsden S, Hope TMH, Friston KJ, Seghier ML. Predicting IQ change from brain structure: A cross-validation study. <i>Dev Cogn Neurosci.</i> 2013; <b>5</b> : 172-184.
<b>292</b>	Martinos MM, Yoong M, Patil S, et al. Early developmental outcomes in children following convulsive status epilepticus: A longitudinal study. <i>Epilepsia.</i> 2013; <b>54</b> : 1012-1019.
<b>293</b>	Als LC, Nadel S, Cooper M, Pierce CM, Sahakian BJ, Garralda ME. Neuropsychological function three to six months following admission to paediatric intensive care with meningo-encephalitis, sepsis and other disorders: A prospective study of school-aged children. <i>Crit Care Med.</i> 2013; <b>41</b> : 1094-1103.
<b>294</b>	Gage SH, Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Fraser A. Associations of maternal weight gain in pregnancy with offspring cognition in childhood and adolescence: Findings from the Avon longitudinal study of parents and children. <i>Am J Epidemiol.</i> 2013; <b>177</b> : 402-410.
<b>295</b>	Talcott JB, Witton C, Stein JF. Probing the neurocognitive trajectories of children's reading skills. <i>Neuropsychologia.</i> 2013; <b>51</b> : 472-481.
<b>296</b>	Wojcik DZ, Moulin CJA, Souchay C. Metamemory in children with autism: Exploring "feeling-of-knowing" in episodic and semantic memory. <i>Neuropsychology.</i> 2013; <b>27</b> : 19-27.
<b>297</b>	Christakou A, Murphy CM, Chantiluke K, et al. Disorder-specific functional abnormalities during sustained attention in youth with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and with autism. <i>Molecular Psychiatry.</i> 2013; <b>18</b> : 236-244.
<b>298</b>	McGonigle-Chalmers M, McSweeney M. The role of timing in testing nonverbal IQ in children with ASD <i>J Autism Dev Disord.</i> 2013; <b>43</b> : 80-90.
<b>299</b>	Sinderberry B, Brown S, Hammond P, et al. Subtypes in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome associated with behaviour and neurofacial morphology. <i>Res Dev Disabil.</i> 2013; <b>34</b> : 116-125.
<b>300</b>	Gammer I, Bedford R, Elsabbagh M, et al. Behavioural markers for autism in infancy: Scores on the autism observational scale for infants in a prospective study of at-risk siblings. <i>Infant Behav Dev.</i> 2015; <b>38</b> : 107-115.
<b>301</b>	Arichi T, Counsell SJ, Allievi AG, et al. The effects of haemorrhagic parenchymal infarction on the establishment of sensori-motor structural and functional connectivity in early infancy. <i>Neuroradiol.</i> 2014; <b>56</b> : 985-994.
<b>302</b>	Johnston BA, Coghill D, Matthews K, Steele JD. Predicting methylphenidate response in attention deficit

	hyperactivity disorder: A preliminary study. <i>J Psychopharmacol.</i> 2015; <b>29</b> : 24-30.
<b>303</b>	Rose M, Frampton IJ, Lask B. Central coherence, organizational strategy and visuospatial memory in children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa. <i>Applied Neuropsychol: Child.</i> 2014; <b>3</b> : 284-296.
<b>304</b>	Iao LS, Leekam SR. Nonspecificity and theory of mind: New evidence from a nonverbal false-sign task and children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>J Exp Child Psychol.</i> 2014; <b>122</b> : 1-20.
<b>305</b>	Livingstone N, McPhillips M. Primary reflex persistence in children with partial hearing. <i>Dev Neuropsychol.</i> 2014; <b>39</b> : 233-247.
<b>306</b>	Broadbent HJ, Farran EK, Tolmie A. Object-based mental rotation and visual perspective-taking in typical development and Williams syndrome. <i>Dev Neuropsychol.</i> 2014; <b>39</b> : 205-225.
<b>307</b>	Pellicano E. Testing the predictive power of cognitive atypicalities in autistic children: Evidence from a 3 year follow up study. <i>Autism Res.</i> 2013; <b>6</b> : 258-267.

**Table 2b: Main outcomes of each of the 307 papers reviewed.**

Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
Conti-Ramsden et al <sup>27</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Pickstone et al <sup>29</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Minnis et al <sup>64</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Wood et al <sup>244</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Green et al <sup>19</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Greenough et al <sup>20</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Foulder-Hughes et al <sup>28</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Thomas et al <sup>30</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Morley et al <sup>40</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Dyet et al <sup>56</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Foster et al <sup>57</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Rhodes et al <sup>66</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Simonoff et al <sup>67</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Chiat et al <sup>72</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Emond et al <sup>77</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
McCann et al <sup>80</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Johnson et al <sup>120</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Delahunty et al <sup>131</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely
Nation et al <sup>139</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Johnson et al <sup>145</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Fellick et al <sup>151</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Cooke et al <sup>154</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Maharasingam et al <sup>155</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Ellis et al <sup>171</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Strain et al <sup>174</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Skuse et al <sup>176</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Edwards et al <sup>270</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Hart et al <sup>271</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Owens et al <sup>173</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Snowling et al <sup>190</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Bitsakou et al <sup>191</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Turton et al <sup>195</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Vollmer et al <sup>196</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Arseneault et al <sup>197</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Durkin et al <sup>207</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Horwood et al <sup>217</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Kirby et al <sup>222</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Reed et al <sup>227</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Pearson et al <sup>237</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Sutcliffe et al <sup>241</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Kirby et al <sup>187</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Marlow et al <sup>162</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Laing et al <sup>21</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Burt et al <sup>36</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Johnson et al <sup>137</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Williams et al <sup>2</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Bennet et al <sup>5</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Sutcliffe et al <sup>16</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Michelotti et al <sup>22</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Dimitriou et al <sup>17</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Gordon et al <sup>18</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely
Lazarus et al <sup>44</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely
Telford et al <sup>68</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Vollmer et al <sup>69</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated

Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
Boucher et al <sup>85</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Chiat et al <sup>89</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Dorris et al <sup>91</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Eglinton et al <sup>92</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Figueras et al <sup>93</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Ford et al <sup>94</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Myers et al <sup>105</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Williams et al <sup>110</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Hall et al <sup>118</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Sayal et al <sup>124</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Tadic et al <sup>127</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Boardman et al <sup>128</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Bromley et al <sup>129</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Cullen et al <sup>130</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Hogan et al <sup>134</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Mackie et al <sup>138</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Rosen et al <sup>140</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Van Kooij et al <sup>150</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Barnett et al <sup>156</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Brown et al <sup>157</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
O'Brien et al <sup>159</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Deave <sup>160</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Camilleri et al <sup>166</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Coghill et al <sup>168</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Elison et al <sup>254</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Livingstone <sup>260</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Rogers et al <sup>264</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Roth et al <sup>266</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Cleland et al <sup>146</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Windfurhr et al <sup>26</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Haines et al <sup>10</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Sonuga-Barke et al <sup>192</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Brown et al <sup>193</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Gale et al <sup>210</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Gale et al <sup>211</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Kini et al <sup>213</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Marlow et al <sup>215</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Roth et al <sup>238</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Bath et al <sup>180</sup>	✓ Yes -possibly	Not stated	Not stated
Adams et al <sup>1</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Botting et al <sup>6</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Fawcett et al <sup>9</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Henry <sup>11</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hutton et al <sup>12</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Laws et al <sup>13</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Talcott et al <sup>25</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Viding et al <sup>32</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bull et al <sup>35</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Isaacs et al <sup>37</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Fisher et al <sup>42</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden et al <sup>55</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Mackinley et al <sup>62</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hughes et al <sup>78</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Joffe et al <sup>79</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Petrou et al <sup>81</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stojanovik et al <sup>82</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated

Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
Deconinck et al <sup>114</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Adam et al <sup>3</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Allin et al <sup>4</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Briscoe et al <sup>7</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden <sup>8</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Rushe et al <sup>14</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Scott et al <sup>15</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Thomson <sup>31</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Vollmer et al <sup>33</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Adab et al <sup>34</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Mercuri et al <sup>39</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Nosarti et al <sup>41</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gathercole et al <sup>43</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Rathbone et al <sup>45</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Halliday et al <sup>46</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hick et al <sup>47</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hogan et al <sup>48</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Lawlor et al <sup>49</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Rhodes et al <sup>50</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stephens et al <sup>51</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Vinten et al <sup>52</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Allin et al <sup>53</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Archibold et al <sup>54</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gale et al <sup>58</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Happe et al <sup>59</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Kuntsi et al <sup>60</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Loat et al <sup>61</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Millar et al <sup>63</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Pressler et al <sup>65</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Whitehouse et al <sup>70</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Barnett et al <sup>71</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden et al <sup>73</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Corriveau et al <sup>74</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Allin et al <sup>83</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Burns et al <sup>87</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Calvert et al <sup>88</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gale et al <sup>96</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gathercole et al <sup>97</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gkoltsiou et al <sup>98</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Haque et al <sup>99</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Harris et al <sup>100</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Isaacs et al <sup>101</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Liegeois et al <sup>102</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Molesworth et al <sup>103</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Moore et al <sup>104</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Nosarti et al <sup>106</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Okereafor et al <sup>107</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stojanovik et al <sup>109</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Auyeung et al <sup>111</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Baxter et al <sup>112</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bishop et al <sup>113</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Edwards et al <sup>115</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Godfrey et al <sup>116</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gregory et al <sup>117</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Isaacs et al <sup>119</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Meiser-Stedman et al <sup>121</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated

Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
Odd et al <sup>122</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Robinson et al <sup>123</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Sussman et al <sup>125</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Baird et al <sup>126</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hayiou-Thomas et al <sup>132</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Ho et al <sup>133</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hughes et al <sup>135</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Isaacs et al <sup>136</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Scope et al <sup>141</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
St Clair et al <sup>142</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Cummings et al <sup>143</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gooch et al <sup>144</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Scott et al <sup>147</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Skirrow et al <sup>148</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Sylva et al <sup>149</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Al-Dahhan et al <sup>152</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gale et al <sup>158</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hick et al <sup>161</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Schulte et al <sup>163</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Clark et al <sup>167</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Wilding et al <sup>169</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Archibold et al <sup>170</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Tan et al <sup>175</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Dawes et al <sup>177</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Mulder et al <sup>178</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Toland et al <sup>245</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Atkinson et al <sup>246</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Alloway <sup>247</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bishop et al <sup>248</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Byrne et al <sup>249</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Coleman et al <sup>251</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Cottrell et al <sup>252</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Drew et al <sup>253</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gallon et al <sup>255</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Happe et al <sup>256</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Harding et al <sup>257</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Holmes et al <sup>258</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Jarrold et al <sup>259</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
McCartney et al <sup>261</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Milne et al <sup>262</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
O'Connor et al <sup>263</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Rosen et al <sup>265</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Sugden et al <sup>267</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
White et al <sup>268</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Wood et al <sup>269</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
O'Hare et al <sup>23</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Shallice et al <sup>24</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Laws et al <sup>38</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Dockrell et al <sup>75</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Donaldson et al <sup>76</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Archbold et al <sup>84</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bull et al <sup>86</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Froud et al <sup>95</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stinton et al <sup>108</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bosson et al <sup>153</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Flynn et al <sup>164</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated



Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
Brock et al <sup>165</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Haskell et al <sup>172</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Davis et al <sup>190</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Svirko et al <sup>194</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Barnett et al <sup>198</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Bayless et al <sup>199</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Chapman et al <sup>200</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden et al <sup>201</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Corbett et al <sup>202</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Cormack et al <sup>203</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Davidson et al <sup>204</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Christie et al <sup>205</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Dunkley et al <sup>206</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Edmonds et al <sup>208</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Cooke et al <sup>209</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Halsey et al <sup>212</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hay et al <sup>214</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hood et al <sup>216</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Huisman et al <sup>218</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Isaacs et al <sup>219</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Sutcliffe et al <sup>188</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Wood et al <sup>189</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Kim-Cohen et al <sup>221</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Kravariti et al <sup>223</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Croll et al <sup>224</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Kuntsi et al <sup>225</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Kyte et al <sup>226</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Magiati et al <sup>228</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Mehta et al <sup>229</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Milner et al <sup>230</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Newbury et al <sup>231</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Mulligan et al <sup>232</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Munir et al <sup>233</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Nosarti et al <sup>234</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Park et al <sup>235</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Park et al <sup>236</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Shield et al <sup>239</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stevenson et al <sup>240</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Wright et al <sup>242</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Astle et al <sup>243</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Barker et al <sup>179</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Brookes et al <sup>181</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden <sup>182</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Conti-Ramsden et al <sup>183</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
de Vries et al <sup>184</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Hibbeln et al <sup>186</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Murray et al <sup>185</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Joinson et al <sup>220</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Camilleri et al <sup>272</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	n/a
Everitt et al <sup>273</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	n/a
Wan et al <sup>274</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Garg et al <sup>275</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Meador et al <sup>276</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Newbold et al <sup>277</sup>	✓ Yes - Implied	✓ Yes -definitely	n/a
Schmid et al <sup>278</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Humphrey et al <sup>279</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated



Author	Assessors trained	Assessors audited	Study adjusted for multiple assessors
McKean et al <sup>280</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Carney et al <sup>281</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Willatts et al <sup>282</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Stringaris et al <sup>283</sup>	Not stated	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Ahuja et al <sup>284</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
O'Keeffe et al <sup>285</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Azzopardi et al <sup>286</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Li et al <sup>287</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Hughes et al <sup>288</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Khandaker et al <sup>289</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated
Kothari et al <sup>290</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Price et al <sup>291</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Martinos et al <sup>292</sup>	✓ Yes - Implied	Not stated	n/a
Als et al <sup>293</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Gage et al <sup>294</sup>	✓ Yes - Implied	Not stated	Not stated
Talcott et al <sup>295</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Wojcik et al <sup>296</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Christakou et al <sup>297</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
McGonigle-Chalmers et al <sup>298</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	n/a
Sinderberry et al <sup>299</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Gammer et al <sup>300</sup>	✓ Yes - Implied	Not stated	Not stated
Arichi et al <sup>301</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Johnston et al <sup>302</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Rose et al <sup>303</sup>	✓ Yes -definitely	Not stated	Not stated
Iao et al <sup>304</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Livingstone et al <sup>305</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Broadbent et al <sup>306</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated
Pellicano <sup>307</sup>	Not stated	Not stated	Not stated